European Journal of Pharmacology 396 (2000) 19-22



Short communication

# Enhanced endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor down-regulation in human tumor cells

Jan Drimal <sup>a,\*</sup>, Jan Drimal Jr <sup>b</sup>, Daniel Drimal <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Experimental Pharmacology, Cardiovascular Research Laboratory, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dubravska cesta 9, 842 16 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

<sup>b</sup> Department of Information Technologies, Slovak Gas Industry, Bratislava, Slovak Republic <sup>c</sup> Faculty of Material Science and Technology, Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Received 7 October 1999; received in revised form 2 March 2000; accepted 7 March 2000

### **Abstract**

The characteristics of specific binding of human [125 I]Tyr13-endothelin-(1-21), [125 I]-Tyr13-Suc-[Glu9,Ala11,15]-endothelin-(8-21), ([125 I]IRL-1620) and endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist [125 I]Tyr3-(N-[(hexahydro-1*H*-azepin-1-yl)carbonyl]-L-Leu]-1Me)-D-Trp ([125 I]PD151242) (number of sites and their affinity) and proliferation responses to exogenous endothelin receptor agonists (endothelin-1 and the endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective, truncated *N*-acetyl-[Ala11,15]-endothelin-(6-21) analogue BQ3020) were determined in cultured human fibroblasts and in tumorigenic HeLa cells. The cells were pre-incubated with equimolar concentrations of human endothelin-1 or its truncated analogue BQ3020. After pre-incubation (2 h), both peptides induced down-regulation of surface-membrane endothelin-1 receptors. This process was specific for endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors and was much more intensive in tumorigenic cells. BQ3020, acting mostly through its C-terminus, induced nearly maximal endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor down-regulation in HeLa cells. Staurosporine, a wide spectrum protein kinase inhibitor, significantly reduced, and *N*-[*N*-[2,6-dimethyl-1piperidinyl)carbonyl]-4-MeL-Leu]-1-(methoxycarbonyl)-D-tryptophanyl]-D-norleucine (BQ788), an endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist, attenuated the down-regulation of endothelin receptors induced by endothelin receptor agonists. The down-regulation of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors was prevented by pre-incubation of the cells with the lysosomal enzyme blocker chloroquine. The endothelin-1-induced cell proliferation was attenuated by pre-incubation of the cells with the non-selective endothelin receptor antagonist Ac-D-10,11-dihydro-5*H*-dibenzo[*a,d*] cycloheptene-glycine-3,3-D-diphenyl-Ala-Leu-Asp-Ile-IIe-Trp (PD142893) and it was only partially reduced by the endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptor-selective endothelin antagonist PD151242. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Endothelin  $\text{ET}_{A}$  receptor; Endothelin  $\text{ET}_{B}$  receptor; Fibroblast; (Human); HeLa cell

### 1. Introduction

Endothelin-1, which is expressed abundantly in endothelial and in vascular smooth muscle cells, provokes the contraction of vascular smooth muscle, as well as cardiac inotropism and proliferation (Haynes and Webb, 1998). Both the expression of endothelin-1 and its modulatory effects in vascular smooth muscle are mediated by two subtypes of receptors (ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub>) (Alexander and Peters, 1999). In patients with coronary atherosclerosis, there is increased endothelin-1-like immunoreactivity in

E-mail address: exfadrim@savba.sk (J. Drimal).

plasma (Timm et al., 1995) and peripheral vasoconstriction and decreased endothelin  $ET_B$  receptor expression have been observed in patients with congestive heart failure (Kobayashi et al., 1998). However, endothelin  $ET_B$  receptor-mediated endothelin-1 expression and vasodilator responses are often reported as being variable (Davenport et al., 1998). In earlier studies, we revealed the specific endothelin  $ET_B$  receptor-selective down-regulation of endothelin receptors to be a dominant mechanism in normal cells (Drimal et al., 1999). The present study with cultured normal and neo-plastic human cells describes distinct, intensified endothelin  $ET_B$  receptor-selective down-regulation of surface-membrane endothelin-1 receptors in neo-plastic cells as a plausible mechanism leading to cell pathology.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +42-07-59410660; fax: +42-07-54775928.

### 2. Methods

(3-[ $^{125}$ I]Tyr $^{13}$ )Endothelin-1, specific activity 2200 Ci/mmol; [ $^{125}$ I]Tyr $^{13}$ -Suc-[Glu $^{9}$ , Ala $^{11,15}$ ]-endothelin-1-(8-21) ([ $^{125}$ I]IRL-1620), specific activity 2000 Ci/mmol; (3-[<sup>125</sup>I]-D-Tyr<sup>3</sup>)-(N-[(N-hexahydro-1 H-azepin-1yl)carbonyl]-L-Leu<sup>1</sup>]-1-Me-D-Trp<sup>2</sup>]) ([<sup>125</sup>I]-PD151242), specific activity 2200 Ci/ mmol; (all from NEN Life Sci. Products, Boston, MA, USA); [<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine, specific activity 29 Ci/mmol (ICN); N-Acetyl-[Ala<sup>11,15</sup>](6-21)endothelin-1 (BQ3020); (N-[N-[N[(2,6-dimetyl-1-piperidinyl)carbonyl]-4-methyl-L-Leu]-1-(methoxy-carbonyl)-D-Trp]-D-norleucine-monosodium) (BQ788); (PD151242) (all from RBI); endothelin-1 (Sigma); IRL1620 (ICN). Human fibroblasts and HeLa cells used in this study came from the Institute of Virology (SAS). The cell lines were routinely grown as monolayer cultures in minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum, non-essential aminoacids, and 100 U/ml of each penicillin and streptomycin, at 37°C, in atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>/ 95% air. The experiments were performed with cells synchronized by incubation with low serum (1% fetal bovine serum, 72 h). Equilibrium binding and competition studies with human cells were carried out at 37°C in 250-µl volumes containing HEPES-buffered physiological salt solution with [125 I]endothelin-1 and in further experiments with  $[^{125}I]IRL-1620$  or  $[^{125}I]PD151242$ . The human cells in culture, whole-cell ligand binding and assays of <sup>3</sup>Hlthymidine incorporation have been described in detail previously (Drimal and Koprda, 1996; Drimal et al., 1999).

## 3. Results

The pre-replicative period of both normal human fibroblasts and tumorigenic HeLa cells was slightly reduced by increasing the cell density and was unaffected by changing the serum concentration. Both types of cells used in the present study showed similar responses in terms of binding affinity and the maximal number of endothelin-1 receptors. Saturation experiments with two subtype-selective endothelin receptor ligands, i.e. [125 I]PD151242 and [125] IRL-1620, showed proportional distribution of both subtypes of endothelin receptors in human fibroblasts and overexpression of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors (1.45: 1, P <0.05) on HeLa cells. In order to estimate the effect of endothelin receptor agonists on human cell proliferation, we measured the incorporation of [3H]thymidine. Under the present conditions, the incorporation of labeled thymidine increased linearly up to 24 h of incubation and radioactivity in both cell strains in the cell layer reached a plateau 8 h after the onset of incubation (data not shown). Competition experiments with human fibroblasts revealed that [125]endothelin-1 (0.85 nmol/l) binding was inhibited with the following order of potency: endothelin-1  $> PD151242 \gg BQ788 > sarafotoxin, and [^{125}I]IRL-1620$ binding was inhibited with the following order of potency: BQ788 > sarafotoxin ≫ PD151242. Equilibrium binding studies were accomplished with three radioligands in control cells and in cells pre-incubated with different endothelin receptor agonists. The control maximal values of specific binding and binding affinity for [125] endothelin-1, the natural helical peptide, were  $B_{\text{max}} = 456 \pm 22 \text{ fmol/mg of}$ protein and affinity was in the nanomolar range ( $K_d$  =  $0.41 \pm 0.01$  nmol/l) in human fibroblasts. Comparable with these values were also the characteristics of [125I]endothelin-1 binding in HeLa cells,  $B_{\rm max} = 405 \pm 15$  and  $K_{\rm d} = 0.20 \pm 0.2$  nmol/l. The truncated, linear-type peptide [ $^{125}$ I]IRL-1620 bound to human fibroblasts with  $B_{\text{max}}$  $=424 \pm 12$  fmol/mg of protein and with high affinity  $(K_d = 0.15 \pm 0.1 \text{ nmol/l})$ , and to HeLa cells with lower density ( $B_{\text{max}} = 289 \pm 115 \text{ fmol/mg of protein}, P < 0.05$ ),

Table 1
The intensity of down-regulation of endothelin receptors on cultured normal and tumorigenic human cells

Cell line	Ligand	Preference (selectivity)	Prolonged cell stimulation with					
			Endothelin-1			BQ3020		
			D	A	P	D	A	P
Human	[ <sup>125</sup> I]ET-1	$(ET_{A \gg} ET_{B})$	84 ± 6	156 ± 11	145 ± 5	67 ± 15	132 ± 27	125 ± 7
Fibroblasts1	[ <sup>125</sup> I]IRL1620	$(ET_{R} \gg ET_{A})$	$82 \pm 8$	$118 \pm 23$	$122 \pm 6$	$66 \pm 22$	$148 \pm 20$	$115 \pm 4$
HeLa	[ <sup>125</sup> I]ET-1	$(ET_{A \gg} ET_{B})$	$90 \pm 5$	$167 \pm 22$	$138^{a} \pm 9$	$63 \pm 6$	$220 \pm 22$	$114 \pm 4$
Cells <sup>2</sup>	[ <sup>125</sup> I]IRL1620	$(ET_{B \gg} ET_{A})$	$43^a \pm 9$	$149 \pm 35$	$132^a \pm 4$	$27^{a} \pm 14$	$114 \pm 7$	$109 \pm 5$

With the nonselective human endothelin-1 and with the endothelin  $ET_B$  receptor-selective, truncated endothelin-1-(6-21) analogue, linear peptide BQ3020. Characteristics of binding of radioactive ligands [ $^{125}$ I]endothelin-1 with preferential affinity for endothelin  $ET_A$  receptors ( $ET_A \gg ET_B$ ) and truncated (endothelin-1-(8-21) ligand [ $^{125}$ I]IRL-1620, with preferential affinity for endothelin  $ET_B$  receptors ( $ET_B \gg ET_A$ ).

Measured parameters: (D) Surface endothelin receptor density; (A) Affinity, expressed here as  $K_d$ ; and (P) Proliferative response ([ $^3$ H]thymidine incorporation) are expressed as percentages of control. Values are Means  $\pm$  S.E.M., (n = 24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Non-tumorigenic human fibroblasts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Significant change compared with control, P < 0.05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>HeLa cells (established from human colorectal carcinoma) after prolonged stimulation with two different endothelin receptor agonists (both in 0.1 nmol/l).

and with very low affinity ( $K_d = 3.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ nmol/l}$ , P < 0.05). The association kinetics of [ $^{125}$ I]IRL-1620 were rapid, with the association constant k<sub>1</sub> being similar to that of [125 I]endothelin-1. Binding was reversible and analysis of dissociation showed that [125]IRL-1620 dissociated from a single site with  $k_{-1} = 0.0044 \pm 0.0005 \text{ min}^{-1}$  (n = 4); the  $K_d$  calculated from these experiments was  $0.17 \pm .0.04$ . The changes in density, affinity and proliferation induced after pre-incubation of the two endothelin receptor agonists endothelin-1 and BQ3020 are summarized in Table 1. Pre-incubation with an equimolar concentration of the endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective BQ3020-(6-21) analogue endothelin-1, produced a more profound down-regulation of endothelin-1 receptors on cultured cells than did endothelin-1. Analysis of the specific binding of the endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective, truncated endothelin-1 analogue [125I]IRL-1620 in HeLa cells indicated significantly decreased endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor density and significant reduction in affinity. The pre-incubation of HeLa cells with endothelin-1 or with BQ3020 in other experiments produced a further down-regulation of endothelin  ${\rm ET_B}$  receptors  $(B_{\rm maxBQ3020} \ll B_{\rm maxEndothelin-1})$  and facilitated the proliferative response of HeLa cells. Binding studies were performed also with human fibroblasts pre-incubated (1 h) with different specific endothelin ligands (in concentration of 0.1 and 1.0 nmol/l) and enzyme inhibitors (1.0 and 5.0 µmol/l, 2 h). In these experiments, the specific binding of [125] I]endothelin-1 declined in the order:  $BO788 \ge \text{chloroquine} \gg PD-151242 \gg \text{Control} \ge$ phosphoramidon, and the corresponding affinity diminished as follows: control > phosphoramidon ≥ PD151242 > chloroquine > BQ788. The pre-incubation of human fibroblasts with BO788, chloroquine or Ac-D-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d] cycloheptene-glycine-3,3-D-diphenyl-Ala-Leu-Asp-Ile-Ile-Trp (PD142893) increased the relative density of high-affinity [125 I]endothelin-1 binding sites, and pre-incubation of human fibroblasts and HeLa cells with BQ3020 or endothelin-1 significantly reduced the relative density of low-affinity [125 I]endothelin-1 binding sites. The reduction in relative density of [125]IRL1620 binding sites in human fibroblasts pre-incubated with BQ3020 and with endothelin-1 in other experiments was comparable with that in the [125 I]endothelin-1 group; however, the decrease in relative affinity was much more pronounced in the human fibroblasts pre-incubated with endothelin-1.

## 4. Discussion

By using cell synchronization, [<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine incorporation, radioligand binding techniques, and pharmacological characterization, we have been able to identify the subtypes of endothelin receptors and their down-regulation in

cultured normal human fibroblasts and in neoplastic Hela cells. Our data showed that human fibroblasts and also HeLa cells responded to nanomolar concentrations of exogenous human endothelin-1 or to its truncated analogue BQ3020 with down-regulation of endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors and with mild proliferation. The most striking difference between human fibroblasts and HeLa cells in the present study was the more pronounced increase in total number of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors and the decrease in total number of specific membrane-bound endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors on HeLa cells. This effect was even more pronounced after their exposure to endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective BQ3020, producing nearly complete endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective down-regulation. One possible explanation of these results is that endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptors are already down-regulated in human neoplastic cells as a result of stimulation with angiogenic and growth factors produced by enhanced pericellular proteolysis in neoplastic cells (Werb, 1997). A second possibility is that, upon transformation of HeLa cells by oncogenes, aberrant cell signaling leads to altered endothelin ETA receptor gene expression, resulting in ET<sub>A</sub> receptor predominance and in potentially striking differences in morphology of tumorigenic cells (Burgering and Boss, 1995). A third possibility is that the endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptors on human fibroblasts and more so on the HeLa cells, are post-translationally modified (subtype-ETA preference, reduced down-regulation at increased affinity of [125 I]endothelin-1 binding sites in the present study) and, as a consequence, endothelin receptors on human fibroblasts and HeLa cells are less likely to bind restrained endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists. While the first possibility seems acceptable, the relevance of the later two possibilities is questionable. It is very plausible that, upon the transformation and progression of cells to the invasive phenotype, pathological signaling from the dominant endothelin ETA receptor subtype may overcome inhibitory signaling from the down-regulated endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor subtype. As a consequence, tumorigenic cells may become less responsive to the regulatory signals derived from their immediate microenvironment. It is noteworthy that in several types of tumor cells (such as colon adenocarcinomas and breast tumors) the source of extracellular matrix proteases, a possible modulatory factor producing vasoactive peptides, is not tumor cell, but cells that are in contact with tumor cells, i.e. stromal fibroblasts (Seuwett and Dan, 1996). Is the progression of cells to the invasive endothelin ETA receptormediated phenotype a limiting factor that in itself may overcome the regulation of silent transcription endothelin genes in cells? So far, there is no direct information providing answers to these questions. Certain implications of potential value for clinical practice can be inferred from the present findings, since the data show that endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> receptor-selective endothelin receptor antagonists may offer selective protection against the proliferation of tumor

## Acknowledgements

Fibroblasts and HeLa cells were generously donated by Drs. J. and L. Pastorek, Institute of Virology, Slovak Academy of Sciences. This study was partly supported by the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic, Grants VEGA No. 2005 and 4025. The authors are grateful to Miss Maria Huzavova for her skillful technical assistance.

## References

- Alexander, S.P.H., Peters, J.A., 1999. Receptor and ion channel nomenclature. Trends Pharmacol. Supplement 10, 33–34, Elsevier Trends.
- Burgering, B.M.T., Boss, J.L., 1995. Regulation of Ras-mediated signalling: more than one way to skin a cat. Trends Biol. Sci. 20, 18–22.
- Drimal, J., Koprda, V., 1996. Endothelin-1 significantly increased number of specific high-affinity binding sites photolabelled on vascular smooth muscle cells with (-)-[<sup>3</sup>H]azidopine. Physiol. Res. 45, 51–58.

- Drimal, J., Mislovicova, M., Ismail, A., Moncek, F., 1999. Detrimental subtype-specific endothelin signaling in myocardial cells: the  ${\rm ET_A}$  mediated proliferation and  ${\rm ET_B}$  receptor down-regulation. Physiol. Res. 48, 9–19
- Davenport, A.P., Kuc, R.E., Ashby, M.J., Patt, W.C., Doherty, A.M., 1998. Characterization of [125 I]PD164333, an ETA selective nonpeptide radiolabelled antagonists in normal and diseased human tissues. Br. J. Pharmacol. 123, 223–236.
- Haynes, W.G., Webb, D.J., 1998. Endothelins as a regulator of cardiovascular function in health and disease. J. Hypertens. 16, 1081–1089.
- Kobayashi, T., Miyaguchi, T., Sakai, S., Maeda, S., Yamaguchi, I., Goto, K., Sugishita, Y., 1998. Down-regulation of ET<sub>B</sub> receptor, but not ET<sub>A</sub> receptor, in congestive lung, secondary to heart failure. Life Sci. 62, 185–193.
- Seuwett, R., Dan, K., 1996. Stromal cells expression of components of matrix-degrading protease system in human cancer. Enzyme Protein 25, 163–174.
- Timm, M., Kaski, J.C., Dashwood, M.R., 1995. Endothelin-like immunoreactivity in atherosclerotic human coronary arteries. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 26, S442–444.
- Werb, Z., 1997. Extracellular matrix and cell surface proteolysis regulating cellular ecology. Cell 91, 439–442.